## SYSTEM ID: Hanoi System

## NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

The Hanoi system was first presented by Fujiwara and Khang (1990) and is based on the planned trunk network of Hanoi, Vietnam. There are 34 pipes to be sized with a total length of 38.61 km . Possible new pipe sizes range between 12 and 40 inches and the total system demand is 126.5 MGD.

## NETWORK SCHEMATIC:



## HISTORY OF THE NETWORK FILE

The Network was first optimized by Fujiwara and Khang (1990) using a two phase non-linear programming method. It has subsequently been used as a case study for a number of optimization techniques including genetic algorithms (Savic and Walters, 1997; Marchi et al, 2014), simulated annealing (Cunha and Sousa, 1999), the shuffled frog-leaping algorithm (Eusuff and Lansey, 2003), ant colony optimization (Zecchin et al, 2005), harmony search (Geem, 2006) and differential evolution (Vasan and Simonovich, 2010). A more complete summary of studies aimed at optimizing this network is given by De Corte and Sorensen (2013).

## AVAILABLE INFORMATION

| Physical attributes | Yes |
| :--- | :---: |
| Schematic diagram | Yes |
| Network geometry data | Yes |
| GIS data file | No |
| Background map | No |
| Elevation data | Yes |
| Pipe data | Yes |
| Pipe material | No |
| Pipe age | No |
| Pipe pressure class | No |
| Nominal or actual diameters | Actual |
| Pump data | N.A. |
| Useful horsepower |  |
| Pump operating curves |  |
| Tank data | N.A. |
| Elevation data |  |
| Stage storage curves |  |
| Water quality information | N.A. |
| Valve data |  |
| PRV/FCV data |  |
| Isolation valve data |  |
| Hydrant data | Yes |
| Demand data | Yes |
| Total system demand | Yes |
| Nodal demand data | No |
| Temporal data demands | No |
| System leakage | Yes |
| Hydraulic data | No |
| Hydraulically calibrated model | No |
| Field hydraulic calibration data | No |
| Water quality data | No |
| Disinfection method | No |
| Chlorine residual data | No |
| Booster station data |  |
| Fluoride/Chloride field data |  |
| Water quality calibrated model |  |
| Operational data |  |
| SCADA datasets | No |
| Operational rules |  |
|  |  |

## REFERENCES:

Cunha, M., Sousa, J., 1999. Water distribution network design optimization: simulated annealing approach. J. of Water Resources Plan. and Man., 125 (4).

De Corte, A. and Sorensen, K. (2013) Optimisation of gravity-fed water distribution network design: A critical review, European Journal of Operational Research, 228, 1-10.

Eusuff, M.M., Lansey, K.E., 2003. Optimization of water distribution network design using the shuffled frog leaping algorithm. J. of Water Resources Plan. and Man., 129 (3).

Fujiwara, O. and Khang, D.B. (1990), A two-phase decomposition method for optimal design of looped water distribution networks, Water Resour. Res., 26(4), 539-549.

Geem, Z.W., 2006. Optimal cost design of water distribution networks using harmony search. Engineering Optimization 38 (3), 259-280.

Marchi, A, Dandy, G., Wilkins, A and Rohrlach, H (2014) A methodology for comparing evolutionary algorithms for the optimization of water distribution systems, J. of Water Resources Plan. and Man. 140 (1), 22-31.

Savic, D., Walters, G., 1997. Genetic algorithms for least-cost design of water distribution networks. J. of Water Resources Plan. and Man., 123 (2), 67-77.

Vasan, A., Simonovic, S.P., 2010. Optimization of water distribution network design using differential evolution. J. of Water Resources Plan. And Man. ASCE, 136 (2), 279-287.

Zecchin, A.C., Simpson, A.R., Maier, H.R., Nixon, J.B., 2005. Parametric study for an ant algorithm applied to water distribution system optimization. IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation 9 (2), 175-191.

## DETAILED DATA SUMMARIES

PHYSICAL ASSETS:

| Asset Type: | \# of Assets |
| :---: | :---: |
| Master Meters | 0 |
| Tanks | 0 |
| Pumps | 0 |
| Pump Stations | 0 |
| Water Treatment Plants | 0 |

## NETWORK CHARACTERISTICS:

| \# Total Pipes: | 34 |
| :---: | :---: |
| \# Branch Pipes: | 7 |
| Ratio (Branch Pipes / Total Pipes): | 0.21 |
| \# Nodes | 31 |
| \# Reservoirs | 1 |
| \# Tanks | 0 |
| \# Regulating Valves | Unknown |
| \# Isolation Values | Unknown |
| \# Hydrants | Unknown |
| Elevation Data | YES |

## PIPE DATA:

| Diameter (in) | Length (ft) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 12 | To be determined |
| 16 | To be determined |
| 24 | To be determined |
| 30 | To be determined |
| 40 | To be determined |

## PUMP DATA:

| Pump Horsepower | NO |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pump Curves: | NO |

## DEMAND STATISTICS:

| Demographic Type | Population | Households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directly Serviceable: | Unknown | Unknown |
| Indirectly Serviceable: | Unknown | Unknown |
| Total Serviceable: | Unknown | Unknown |


| Production Statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Annual Volume Produced (MG): | 126.5 |
| Total Annual Volume Purchased (MG): | 126.5 |
| Total Annual Volume Provided (MG): | 126.5 |
| Estimated Annual Water Loss: | Unknown |


| Water Costs |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Customer Type | Cost per 1000 gallons |
| Customers within the municipality | Unknown |
| Customers outside the municipality | Unknown |

## CUSTOMERS AND USAGE:

| Customer Type | Customer Count | Average Daily Demand <br> (MGD) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wholesale: |  |  |
| Residential: |  |  |
| Commercial: |  |  |
| Institutional: |  |  |
| Industrial: |  |  |
| Other: |  |  |
| Total Customers: |  | 126.5 |
| Flushing, Maintenance <br> \& Fire Protection: |  |  |
| Total Water Usage: |  |  |

DATA FILE ATTRIBUTES:

| ATTRIBUTE |  | UNITS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe Length \& Diameter | X | Metres |
| Pipe Age | X |  |
| Node Elevation | X | Metres |
| Node Demand |  | Cubic metres per hour |
| Valves |  |  |
| Hydrants |  |  |
| Tank Levels |  |  |
| Tank Volume |  |  |
| PRVs |  |  |
| WTP |  |  |
| WTP Capacity |  |  |
| Pump Data |  |  |

